

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,854	FENICAL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

**All Participants:**

(1) Fiona T. Powers.

(2) Antony Novom.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 May 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 NONE

Claims discussed:  
 2-6, 15-21, 27-31, 45 and 51

Prior art documents discussed:  
 NONE

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
 See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Mr. Novom to inform him that the application would be in condition for allowance if claims 2-6, 15-21 and 27-30 were canceled and claims 31, 45 and 51 were amended by deleting the phrase "or substituents comprising a sulfonyl moiety,". It was agreed that claims 2-6, 15-21 and 27-30 would be canceled and claims 31, 45 and 51 amended as discussed above by examiner's amendment..